## Responses to Questions asked concerning the Falmouth Cruise Shipping Port Monitoring Programme

**Question**: Please refer to Section 3.3(b). We wish to ensure that we have a clear understanding of what is to be understood by the term "12 staff months". Our experience has been that different contracting agencies interpret this term differently. Our understanding will be served if the following question is answered.

Would 5 persons working for 240 days each, be equally compliant with section 3.3(b) as 240 days of effort by one person?

<u>Answer</u>: The Twelve (12) months stated in the RFP is documented there as the DURATION of the contract (in calendar months. The 240 days represent the LEVEL of Effort which needs to be extended over the 12months (inclusive of weekends, nights, holidays etc).

**Question:** I refer to my emails of 2/9, 8/9 and earlier today. I have been doing some enquiries and understand that there is no definitive work plan yet prepared for the development works at Falmouth. In the absence of such respondents to NEPA's RFP will not be able to properly cost their proposals since the details of the different works activities and their durations have not been provided in the TOR, only the parameters to be monitored. Could you urgently provide clarification on this matter. Thank you,

**Answer:** You are quite right there is no definitive schedule available at this time as the PAJ is using a design and build methodology, I have however asked the PAJ to provide estimates for the timelines associated with the environmental aspects of the development and they are trying to get me something today so that we may advise you further as to the time that different aspects are being projected to take. In the interim and also due to the uncertainty surrounding the exact start date for the successful consultancy I would suggest that you put forward unit costs (\$/day etc.) for each sort of activity as this will also provide the basis for fair comparisons.

**Question:** What is the extent of building renovation and new building construction as a part of the Cruise Terminal `Development?

The EIA and the RFP only describe environmental matters and the pier itself as the main object, which, for environmental reasons, it is. However, both documents also describe that there are landside renovations and new construction, including renovations to the historic district. These buildings are barely visibly on the plan on page 222 of the EIA, but hard to identify. We are trying to identify the scope of work for the Planner/Architect, which we assume encompasses mainly the monitoring of town planning matters, setbacks, area allocation, resettlement etc. Please advice

**Answer**: The extent of the work associated with the landside developments has not yet been finalized as those matters are still under deliberations and no approval has yet been granted.

**Question**: However, we would like NEPA to clarify the exact scope of work in particular pertaining the Architect's/Planner's role. Does the monitoring scope of

work for this RFP include the monitoring of construction of buildings, structures, renovations, infrastructure, roads, parking etc. therefore a large scope of monitoring for an Architect/Planner. Or does the scope of work for construction only include the pier itself, which in our eyes includes items such as dredging, landfill, retaining, environmental issues, hence the Architects/Planners scope is much smaller.

<u>Answer</u>: The existing RFP and the clarifications already available must be used to generate the proposal to the Agency. As previously stated it is not possible to provide any further clarifications on what will be approved for construction of buildings etc. once the reclamation is complete as this decision has yet to be taken. Be advised however that the RFP states that monitoring will be required for <u>ALL</u> permits and licenses that <u>HAVE BEEN and WILL BE</u> issued.