

**VERBATIM NOTES OF THE PUBLIC MEETING ON THE FINDINGS OF
THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR THE PROPOSED
DOVECOT MEMORIAL PARK EXPANSION (KUMANDA PARK LIMITED)
AT PART OF CHISHOLM CALLED BENDON PEN, ST JOHNS ROAD
ST. CATHERINE JAMAICA ON MONDAY, FEBRUARY 23, 2015
COMMENCING AT 5:46PM.**

PRESENT WERE:

Mr. P. Brown - Chairman

Dr. C. Campbell

Mrs. I. Madden Brownie

Ms. M. Bernard

Mrs. R. Lacey Sherrard

Pastor w. Smikle

PARTICIPANTS:

Mr. D. Gordon Ms. Y. Wallace

Mr. M. Wilmot Mr. K. McCook

Ms. A. Brown Mr. G. Hyman

Mr. O. Green Mr. O. Thomas

Mr. R. Macleod Ms. P. Sterling

Mr. C. Willis Mr. C. Clarke

Mr. T. Forrester Ms. Patricia

Ms. S. Campbell Ms. Althea

Ms. L. Morgan

AND OTHER INVITED GUESTS/COMMUNITY MEMBERS

Chairman: Good evening everybody. Welcome to the public meeting, for the Environmental Impact Assessment of the proposed Dovecot Memorial Park Expansion.

My name is Paul Brown and I am your facilitator/Chairman for this evening's presentation.

There are a couple of people that I would need to introduce you to and you will hear from some of them, you certainly shall.

Just before I do the introduction, for many of you, this may be the first time you are coming to such a meeting. This is not my first, but it is my first chairing one. And essentially, the public meeting is part of the general planning process in Jamaica and the idea here is that, when certain kind of development takes place, NEPA based on the laws and the regulations of the country, the people who are doing the development have to submit an application to get permission to do the development. Based on the nature of the development, NEPA then decide that an

Environmental Impact Assessment has to be done; in this case one had to be done. Part of the rules in doing an Environmental Impact Assessment is that subsequent to the developer having the assessment done, submitting it to NEPA and NEPA peruses it and look at it and decide, yes, this is okay there has to be a public meeting because us the public are part of the process of development right. So people are not going to "screechy" some development pass the public and people say they didn't know about it. So the idea about the public meeting is for the public to have their say to know exactly what is being proposed and how it is going to be done.

Part of the process also involves three weeks before at least there should have been a notice put in the national newspaper, and that was done. There was a notice in the paper as of Sunday February 1 there was another on the 13 of February and there was one on the 21st of February. There was a

town-crier and there were invitations that were sent to a number of citizens association, and a number of other entities were invited the Parish Council, NSWMA and quite a few other entities were invited to be a part of this public forum.

Now who are the people who you are going to hear from? Firstly, just behind me here in all black Dr. Carlton Campbell he is the CEO of CL Environmental Company Limited and they are the company who did actual did the Impact Assessment. They would have done that on behalf of Kumanda who are the developers, who are the people who are going to develop and run the proposed cemetery. Issia Madden Brownie is the representative from Kumanda, she was sitting here, I guess she will return shortly. Okay there she is. And very important to the process is our regulator, NEPA, and Ms. Ruthann Sherrard is also here, so you will hear from her shortly.

Just before we go any further, we are very thankful for our host. And with that in mind we are now going to ask Pastor Wint Smikle to bless this proceeding, to invite the Lord in our proceeding.

(Pastor Wint Smikle prayed)

Thank you Pastor Smikle. Okay as we proceed we will now have a statement from the National Environmental and Planning Agency, NEPA, Mrs Sherrard will address you. And immediately after she speaks we will go into the main presentation from CL Environmental without any further interruption from me. Mrs Sherrard.

Mrs. Sherrard: Good evening ladies and gentlemen. I ask you to bear with me while I make the mandatory statement on behalf of the National Environment and Planning Agency.

As Mr. Brown said, my name is Ruthann Lacy Sherrard and I will begin.

On the 29th of October 2013 and the 20th of November 2013, the National Environment and

Planning Agency received applications from Kumanda Park Limited for Environmental Permits for a proposed cemetery and the construction and operation of a sewage treatment and disposal facility at Bendon, St. Catherine.

The Information submitted in support of the application were reviewed and it was determined that an Environmental Impact Assessment would be required for the project.

A Terms of Reference was drafted and subsequently accepted in letter dated the 1st of April 2014. The result and EIA Report was submitted to the National Environmental Planning Agency on the 17th of November 2014 and circulated internally as well as externally to the Agricultural Land Management Division, formally the Rural Physical Planning Division, the Water Resources Authority, The National Works Agency, the Mines and Geology Division, the

Environmental Health Unit and the St. Catherine Parish Council for comments.

The comments once received will be reviewed, collated and communicated to Kumanda Park Limited. The EIA was also posted at the NEPA Documentation Centre, the St. Catherine Parish Council and the St. Catherine Parish Library for public viewing as well as on the Agency's website.

Notices for the public consultation scheduled for today the 23rd of February 2015 were published in the Gleaner on the 1st, 13th and the 22nd of February 2015.

Please note that this public meeting is a preliminary action and no final decision has yet been made. The agency will, therefore, refrain from making any comments or answering any questions in relation to the development at this time as the application is currently the subject of review.

The agency is simply here to observe and note the comments being made so as to inform

the deliberations to the Natural Resources Conservation Authority and the Town and Country Planning Authority.

Please be reminded that the process with respect to the public presentation is as follows:

A copy of the verbatim minutes of the public presentation is submitted to the agency by the applicant within seven (7) days of the public presentation.

The public is allowed up to thirty (30) days from the date of this meeting to provide written comments to the Agency.

Upon receipt of the comments they will be collated and sent to the applicant for responses to be provided. Once responses are received to the Agency's satisfaction, a submission will be prepared to facilitate deliberations on the technical merits of the project within NEPA; at a technical review committee and then to the Natural

Resources Conservation Authority for a final decision.

Please note carefully that the final decision on the application is the sole responsibility of the NRCA.

The Agency views the public presentation and consultation processes as extremely important in the decision making process. These consultations provide an additional opportunity for stakeholders to hear their concerns, provide comments, opinions and views on the development projects. And afford the applicant the opportunity to address these concerns, comments, opinions and views.

Written comments may be submitted to the application secretariat branch at the Agency at 10 Caledonia Avenue, Kingston 5, or via electronic mail to application@nepa.gov.gm

Finally, please be reminded that the EIA report is available for access by the public at the following locations:

NEPA's documentation Centre at 10
Caledonia Avenue, NEPA's website at
www.nepa.gov.jm

The St. Catherine Parish Library,

The St Catherine Parish Council; and

CL Environmental Company Limited
website www.clenvironmental.com

Thank you, good evening.

Dr. Campbell: Members of head table, special invited
guests, members of the public, good evening,
welcome.

My name is Carlton Campbell and I will try
and walk you through this public
presentation of the proposed Dovecot
Memorial Park Expansion.

Background and Rationale. As I said
before, it is being undertaken by Kumanda
Park Limited. One of the rationales is that
all public cemeteries in St Catherine have
reached or almost reached their capacity as
you can see in the table here.

It also fits in with development investment manual, that gives you an idea of how much land is suppose to be made available for public cemetery per population.

The proposed 10.1 hectares that the Parish Council is thinking about is only available for five years, therefore, the shortfall would be met by this proposed project.

This expansion will allow burials to occur for another approximately 48 years. As you know where it is at the Chisholm Bendon Pen area it is about 500 meter west of current Dovecot Memorial Park. It is separated by this park by the Taylor Road area, that dirt road coming in between and the area was chosen because of the following:

The inadequate provision of public burial space;

The land is already owned by the developers;

It is accessible; near the main;

There is no obvious environmental sustainability issue, the area is already disturbed and modified.

It would not breach any development orders for the area. And as I said before, in keeping with the criteria where approximately 40 hectares to every 100,000 population is what is required for burial.

This map gives an idea of the site locations. In red, this is where the proposed location will be. (Indicating) This is the existing Dovecot Cemetery. Of course, the communities around St. Johns, Green Acres, Kitson Town, Naseberry Grove.

Project Description.

What are the features of this project? It is about 28.7 hectares and roughly about 48,000 burial vaults that can be fitted in that area. We will also have sanitary facilities. This Church that we are in will currently remain, it will have internal roadways, it is larger than the existing

Dovecot Cemetery and the vaults will be 50 per cent single, 50 per cent double vaults.

This is an idea of the size of the vaults 2.3 meters long 0.84 wide and approximately 1.5 meters deep. The walls will be constructed of block and steel with poured concrete, and the bottom would have compact marl and stone, of course, you have a slab at the top to seal and each vault will be sealed by concrete at the end of the burial. This is just a schematic to give you an idea length wise and also the cross section.

In terms of water supply and sewage, we will have restrooms in these areas with a tiled field, and as I said before a septic tank with an evapotranspiration bed that will deal with the sewage.

The water demand, we expect over the development will be done in 12 phases and we will calculate what the demand will be per day, and of course, what the wastewater will be about 20/21 cubic meters per day.

There will be garbage receptacles strategically placed around the cemetery ground and along the access roads, so as persons come they can use it, instead of throwing it around on the ground, and there will be collection from the NSWMA.

In terms of drainage we tend to maintain the existing natural drainage conditions as stated here like roads we capture near the curb and we deposit that run-off into soak away and sinkholes.

The access for the site. The access from St. Johns Road, in this vicinity and the clients can drive to their required burial location with the internal cemetery roads that are there. And there will be a service entrance at the north side of the property.

Proposed service entrance soak away, you have two sinkholes and as I said the proposed main entrance is here.

Employment We expect approximately thirty (30) persons to be employed, eighteen (18)

persons during site clearance and preparation and twelve (12) persons as masons for the preparation of the vaults.

In terms of how we intend to phase the project, the first phase we will start with the parking area, roads and drainage network, sewage system and clearance of 1.2 hectares for burial, that is the first phase. After that, the plan is to clear in phases like 1.2 hectares intervals and that until the 48 years has expired. Based on that we envisage 12 phases that would occur for the development.

First during the construction then you are going to have to remove dirt and vegetation. What is planned is that the smaller vegetation we use for the soil conditioning for mulching and for landscaping, and of course the larger trees can be given to the coal burners to burn for charcoal.

Hope you can see this properly, but the first phase is off here, (Indicating) the

second phase, the third phase and as I said until all 12 phases are completed.

Description of the environment, the excising environment We know the temperature is about 24.6 normal for Jamaica, relative humidity 80, relatively high which is in Jamaica's ballpark and wind speed is about 0.6 meters per second dominant wind direction is north northeast.

In terms of the geology limestone bedrock to the southern south east property boundary, there is a St. Ann Clay loam soil as you can see here, and it is thick clay, and when we dig, we went to five meters and more and there is no ground water so we know ground water is at a good distance below.

In terms of elevation topography, it is located north west to south east that is how it is oriented in a valley, this is the site, this is the mountain, this is the north west and it comes down gently at a slope. It is also part of the alluvial plane of the Rio Cobre River. As I said

before the land is fairly flat 60 to 90 meters above sea level, and a slope of 5 per cent which is relatively flat. There are two small sinkholes on the property one located here and the other here. (Indicating) The first one, which is this one here, circular free draining and it conducts water quickly. The second one here is partially covered with soil and vegetation but indications are that it will have good drainage.

In terms of surface drainage storm water catchment and ground water recharge area. The water flows from a wider catchment area enters the site from various location and directed by the terrain, or the slope of the terrain to the drains and sinkholes that is presently on the site.

The part of any development you will have to have an idea of how it will impact the runoff. What you do, you calculate the existing runoff that is predevelopment and then you look at when the development goes in what impact that will have on the water

running off. In this case, now estimated peak runoff for two years event is about 2.25 meters cube per second and for a hundred years it is a little bit more, it is about three times as much. For a 24 hour storm it is much greater 14,000 for the two year and about 40,000 for the hundred year event.

As was said before runoff is North West, to north east and it is channelized by the Kitson Town main road and also the unpaved Taylor Road area.

Currently the runoff is channeled along the Dovecot Cemetery Road the unpaved one that is the Taylor Road and persons have reported that it has resulted in the flooding, that is yet to be seen.

Participants: No, no.

Dr. Campbell: The property, however, this property, however, does not contribute to the runoff of any significance to this drainage channel because it slopes this way.

This is Taylor Road, there is a concrete drain here, to that sinkhole here. So the drainage, we are talking water will come this way and as I said, this property, this is the property drainage will be this way and will impact along the Taylor Road main road.

In terms of hydrogeology based on the WRA well logs, we know that the water table is projected to be 45 to 50 meters above mean sea level. But we also know that the land is at 80 therefore, there is a roughly 40 meters of soil between the present where we would have the grave to the groundwater level.

We know the groundwater flows southerly so this is the site so we expect the groundwater to be flowing this way from underneath the soil.

In terms of wells, 12 Wells are within two kilometers the nearest being Naseberry Grove you have the Bellevue Co-op and the Bellevue Well, Green Acres Well and it is a limestone

aquifer and it has high pollution with vulnerability. But as I said before, the thickness of the soil below the property is about 40 to 50 meters so there is a lot of buffer in terms of if there is any pollution.

We looked at dust and ambient particles PM 10 is particles of size 10 microns or more those are the particles that get stuck in your nostril and your throat there is a standard of 150 milligrams - micrograms per meter cube for one day, a 24 hour, all of them we stated the locations, we did five locations will within the standard. Here P2 has the highest and one of the things that we note that there was some charcoal burning in that area. And also the 2.5 P2 again would have had the highest level which is around here. (Indicating)

We also looked at noise, to have an idea of what the noise climate is. Two locations had noise levels exceeding NEPA standard for both day and night and these are station one

and three, those locations exceeded the noise levels for whatever reason. But that is on the unimproved site.

We looked at vegetation, it is a highly disturbed area, lot of shrubs and saplings, type of trees that dominate like wild star-apple, logwood and some fruit trees, and we also had some logwood and acacia, the understory which is the vegetation on the ground which is dominated by vines, shrubs and grasses. And as I said, the area was disturbed there is evidence of coal kilns, trees removed for charcoal burning or for fence posts and we also saw some tracks across the property.

No rare or threatened species or vegetation was found on the site. In terms of animals, 29 species were found invertebrate which is animals without backbone, generally cold blooded, those are beetles, butterflies, of course, some scorpions were found. No species of significance or special

consequential significance were found on the site.

21 bird species, four of them are endemic meaning that they are either found on the site or in the local or within Jamaican and those as you can see, the Jamaican woodpecker everybody would know and the red-billed streamer-tail they also had some residents meaning that those are the ones that stays here, and of course, the summer residents that comes only during the summer time.

Few mongoose were observed, no frogs and the common tree lizard the anoles was commonly seen.

In terms of population. What we did, we looked at a 2 kilometer radius of the proposed site to see what the population is. Based on the census, the 2011 census we estimated about 7,800 persons living within two kilometers of the site. And with the growth rate between 2001 and 2011 of 2.37, we worked out that the population at the

time of the study in 2014 would have been about 8,300 persons and we expect that it will grow into about 15,000 in the next 25 years if the populating rate continues as is.

The population density, the number of persons per square kilometer was higher than the parish as you can see 452 persons per square kilometer while the parish has 434 persons. There are a little bit more persons per square kilometer in this area and that is because you have a housing development and stuff around.

Just to give you a pictorial of that 2001 population this is the site. The darker colour shows the higher population and in 2011 here again within the site as you notice around it gets darker. (Indicating)

In terms of housing, there are about 2,400 housing units most of them were separate detached house meaning those are the ones that stand alone.

In terms of water usage, most persons - well 45.7 per cent of the households in the 2 kilometers region of this got water from NWC, 38.5 from truck water, 13.3 from private sources and 1.6 from other sources and 0.1 from springs, and point eight (.8) did not report how they got water.

We expect that about 10.8 tons of solid waste is generated within that 2 kilometers based on the population. And we know that the waste is collected by the NSWMA and transported to the Riverton Waste Disposal Site.

In terms of transportation and traffic, most traffic around here transportation is done by private cars, taxis and mini buses and as you can see the road network, this is the site again Kitson Town main road and a lot of roads coming off.

We also looked at traffic counts along the main road, Kitson Town Road, westbound traffic, west of Featherbed Lane. The red line is the average vehicle per hour, Monday

to Friday this red line that you see here. The yellow line the average vehicle per hour from Monday to Sunday; and then what we did look was look at Saturday and Sunday traffic when most funerals occur. And what you can see is that the Saturday and Sunday between 10:00 and 5:00 on Saturday, and 11:00 and 5:00 on Sunday, the traffic exceeds the average for the week. And for a number of reasons, I mean funerals, churches, and people going about their business to shopping, because a lot of times people are home to go to the supermarket.

If you look east bound at this traffic heading towards Spanish Town, same thing happens, Saturday 9:00 to 5:00, and on Sundays 10:00 to 5:00.

There is cultural heritage. The Jamaica National Heritage Trust did a site investigation to see if there is anything of cultural significance on the property. What they found various ethnic groups including Tainos what we used to call the Arawaks have

used this area as evidenced by the artifacts that they found and this is a little shard here that they found. The property has been in uses, various land uses, raising of racehorse, cattle and charcoal burning.

We also looked at the aesthetics what we call a view-shed analysis. We assume that an observer is about 1.8 meters above ground which is around the normal person's height. We have also looked at 4.6 meters high to simulate if somebody was in their house on the second floor to look at what they would see once the development is put in place. What was the result, partial view of the proposed development from Green Acres, Mercury Gardens, and Naseberry Grove. There were no views from Bendon and St. Johns Heights. And this is just to give you an idea of the view-shed, here at Green Areas what we do is look at the highest point at Green Acres bearing in mind the programme does not take into consideration all the vegetation in between, but areas that are

green are the areas that we expect to be visible. This is the site, here Mercury Gardens just a small part of the site would be seen, Naseberry Grove from here you will see that aspect, the lower reaches of the site and as I said Bendon you wouldn't see anything from there, and also St. Johns Height.

And this is just a quick 3D imagery just to give you an illustration no everything, as I said the view from Naseberry, this is the development here. As you can see.

(Showing view of the development)

We are looking at Green Acres again over that site. From Mercury Gardens that is the view. This is an aerial shot of it. This is how it will look from up top. As you can see, is 12 phases out, as you can see from Bendon and then you can see where we intend to put some of the bathroom facilities.

As you can see, very well manicured lawns,
(Laughter) another set of bathrooms here.

Okay that is just a quick synopsis.

Community perception. We did interviews with the community to get their feedback. 152 community questioners were administered in 11 communities which represent about 2 per cent of the population in the area, within the 2 kilometers. 5.3 of those persons have heard about Kumanda Park Limited, 75 of that 5 per cent knew about it by word of mouth, somebody told them. 47.4 per cent were aware of the proposed development, 45.4 indicated that they had concerns, 40 per cent roughly did not anticipate the project affecting their lives, while 26.3 expected their lives to be negatively affected, 24.3 were uncertain and 9.2 expected a positive result from this development.

Concerns highlighted included proximity of the centenary to the resident, and expected the depreciation of their property. 32 per cent were aware that the cemetery was reaching the capacity, the existing cemetery. When asked if they use the

proposed site for any type of business, farming or residence the majority, roughly 87 per cent did not. When asked if they knew anyone who uses the site approximately 79 per cent or 80 per cent did not know anybody using the site.

We also highlighted some stakeholders' issues that were raised. Persons spoke about the repair of the road which is outside the scope of this EIA and this project; it is a Parish Council/NWA issue. The expectation of the job creation, as I said before, 30 persons were expected to be employed. The impact of cremation on emission on public health, there will be no cremation done on this site.

Risk of flash flooding. As I said, the proposed development site is not expected to contribute to flood risk in this area and in fact it might serve to reduce flooding based on the channelization of the water flowing.

In terms of locating the expansion north of this site that is going to be difficult

because the developer does not own that property that is one, and a lot of the properties there are small, the land is steeper and there is the possibility that you would encounter bedrock before the minimum one meter below the powers that is necessary and also the one meter of soil above. So when you go further north it is a bit rocky.

In terms of the proximity to the cemetery; we don't expect you to create any undue nuisance noise and the proposed area will be landscaped. So in terms of the visual appeal and stuff we don't expect it to be an issue.

In terms of the increased traffic congestion, what is going to happen is that we don't expect it to be minimal because what would happen is that most persons now would have normally will be coming at this location.

The impact on groundwater which some persons had raised which we anticipate that there will be minimal to no impact based on the

depth of soil below and also the distance horizontally from the wells.

And in terms of the improved domestic water supply as I said, that is outside the scope of this project.

To give you an idea also in terms of the wells, pumping wells within 2.5 kilometers of the proposed budget. The pumping wells are the ones that most persons will be concerned about.

Public supply to Dovecot you notice 1.73 kilometers the WRA guidelines 500 meters from the well. A cemetery must not be located within 500 meters of well. Most wells nearly three times away.

The other one Frazier's Content 2.14 and St Johns Road/Melvin Park 2.39. So those are the critical ones, the non-pumping wells are really monitoring wells so those would not be providing water supply.

We looked at alternatives as part of a project you have to look at alternatives.

The first one no action, meaning that we leave it as is, don't do anything. That we said would not make sense because we leave the site in a degraded way and encourage illegal dumping and whatever else as you can see what is going on there.

Alternative two, project as proposed. Alternative three to use it for residential purposes that would impact in terms of sewage, roads, and water supplies so that would increase the burden. Use the site for building of schools. We know along St Johns road we have a lot of schools, and that would also be a function of Ministry of Education.

Use proposed site for cattle rearing would also have the same degradation of the site and also pollution.

Relocation of the proposed expansion to the north; as I have said to you before the further north you go the rockier the site, we don't have enough soil below for burial.

So the preferred alternative, alternative two, the project as proposed and for the following reasons:

The need for increased capacity to supply for Kingston, St. Andrew and St Catherine.

The site is accessible easily, owned by developers so you don't have to go looking somewhere.

As I said before it is in keeping with development manual to provide 40 hectares for every one hundred thousand population.

And if you remember, between a projections of what in 25 years what the population would be.

It is also in keeping with what the WRA hydrological assessment technical notes which was in the back of the EIA said: **There are no obvious environmental sustainability issues.** In terms of potential impact and mitigation noise for doing soil construction whenever you are using equipment use them with mufflers and other noise reduction

devices, operate during the 7:00 - 9:00 and if workers are working with noisier equipment, of course, supply them with the necessary protective earmuffs and earplugs.

In terms of air quality wet any area that you clear, minimize the areas that you remove this vegetation from that is one of the reasons why we go with the phased method so you don't clear a lot of area for the soil will be left exposed. And of course you cover the wet material during construction.

In terms of storage, the main thing the storage things will be burnt to prevented them from getting into the drainage channel and blocking the skin holes.

In terms of storage if you are storing any fuels, of course, you want to clear the look the mark and also berms. Transportation of material, of course, use the land path into the property, use adequate road signs, use flagmen, of course, if you are moving heavy equipment we tend to do it early in the morning. Use flagmen.

In terms of geology, in terms of the extent of the sinkholes, have the necessary set back from the sinkholes. We also look at how the runoffs, as I said the proposed project maintained the existing natural drainage conditions and also that the hard-scape or drainage channels will go towards these soak away sinkholes.

In terms of soil erosion, of course, we know we don't want to dispose of any soil or debris in the drainage channel because that will cause blockage and flooding. Also you don't want to block sinkholes because you need them to get the water off so that you don't have flooding downstream.

In terms of the return period in terms of runoff, as I said before existing two years, as you can see the two years existing was 2.25 meter cube per year - per second sorry, that is with the existing condition that is the site undeveloped. Once the site is developed fully then it will increase just about 29 per cent as you can see.

This figure down here represents what we expect in terms of soil loss. The red is where you have the high potential of soil loss. Here is where the site is and as you can see, it is very low potential for soil being loss. In terms of plants, there is a suggestion of using some of the plants for landscaping and of course, traffic management use the traffic routes, use signage, trucks must be covered. And community interaction, developer will have a lead person and have community complaints registered so that in case there is any issue persons feel free to lodge their complaints.

Cultural and historic during site clearance the Jamaica National Heritage Trust should be present in order to look for any artifacts when the clearing is going on.

Monitoring must be done during clearing sessions, and of course, all materials collected must be recorded.

Solid waste, you have skips and binds, and of course, these must be collected and disposed of at the approved disposal area. Drain operation noise, looking at noise pollution, equipment how persons come in and uses the site.

Waste water, of course, we said that ensure that the plant is built in terms of the sewage and the collection of the sewage and treatment by the evapo-transpiration bed. Of course, solid waste is again strategically placed bins around collected and disposed.

The natural hazards, I mean, we experience hurricane, earthquake. One of the key things that is important is that you follow the building code which would help to minimize any damage to grid.

Storm water, all runoff north east should go to the sinkholes and of course I said sinkholes one and two should have a swale between it so as to encourage the flow of water to them.

Capacity should be assessed, and of course, sediments traps should be placed in front of them so that any soil washing off or anything that would block it would be collect it before it goes into those sinkholes and cause them not to collect the water properly.

In terms of the pollution of the aquifer, minimize the use of chemicals for embalming and coffin preparation. We have monitoring wells to ensure that over time the monitors - to ensure that nothing is coming across, of course, you have some standards here in terms of where humans and animals remains must not be within 250 meters of any well. The base of all this should have a minimum of one meter of soil below and one meter above so as to ensure that anything that comes out will be contained.

The aesthetics, as I said before will be landscaped, going to use trees where possible, and of course, the design for

headstones and commemorative plaques could be used.

For water usage minimize the amount of water to be used, use low flow faucets and toilets, as far as possibly irrigate early morning or in the afternoon. And where possible, use drip irrigation.

And for traffic, coordinate funerals to ensure that there is not a clash of times so you don't have plenty funerals happening at the same time. Work with the traffic police to help to coordinate it and of course the use of police escort so as to clear the blockage along the way.

In terms of Environmental Monitoring and Management, Environmental monitoring to be included but not limited to; General construction site monitored, it means that when the area is being cleared you have some monitoring going on.

Water quality, noise, dust, landscaping to ensure that landscaping goes on. Solid waste

to ensure that things are being collected, pest control, and of course, community grievance mechanism which I have spoke to about so that person are free if they are having an issue with operation can lodge a complaint.

Thank you very much.

Any questions?

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS SESSION

Chairman:

Thank you very much Dr. Campbell. There is a register at the entrance, so I am asking anybody who attend to please sign the register for us.

Okay, so this is the section where we now solicit questions. And we want to conduct this section in a very orderly manner. So we are going to ask persons asking questions to come up and use that mic and you are going to say your name, exactly where you are from, and ask your question. And please ask a question. Very different from giving a speech or making a statement; so please

ask the questions keep them as concise and as clear as possible so that the people at the head table can respond.

So the floor is now open for questions.

Mr. Gordon: Good Evening ladies and gentlemen. Mr. Chairman, good evening. I hear you speaking about some development, but I don't believe that cemetery is a development.

Chairman: Just a minute, sir, we ask that everybody give their names.

Mr. Gordon: Daniel Gordon, Taylor Road. We live right down into the flood area down there, and we at Taylor Road suffer the most flood up here. And Miss Bernard came to a meeting down at the Church, meeting association down here, and we speak to her about the water coming from Dovecot. And she said that she is going to control the water for that water coming from Dovecot, and the people who live down into that gully is suffering so very much. And Miss Bernard has done nothing to it. And I am surprise now to hear now that

we are going to be between two cemeteries like a slice of pie between a slice of bread, right. And we are going to suffer down there. I don't matter what anyone want to say, but we are going to suffer down there by this cemetery. And I hear unno speaking about development, cemetery is not development, cemetery is devaluation.

Chairman: And the question, sir?

Mr. Gordon: The question is when this cemetery is constructed right here, what value our land and house up here is going to have?

Thank you. (Applause)

Dr. Campbell: Mr. Gordon, in terms. Of the - I am going to deal with you in terms of the water. What we know, what we know in terms of the flow from the proposed site we know won't affect Taylor Road based on how the land is sloped, based on the sink hole that is around, and based on concrete drain that is there. So we know that water from the proposed site will not impact Taylor Road.

In terms of the value of the property, I cannot answer that at this point.

Mrs. Madden Brownie: Mr. Taylor, Mr. Gordon, from Taylor Road. My name is Isiaa Madden I am the Architect that developed this new cemetery which my grandfather brought almost 40 years ago.

Let me tell you a cemetery is part of a development.

Participants: No, no.

Mrs. Madden Brownie: I am the fourth generation in this business and when someone dies, when your loved ones dies he or she has to be buried somewhere. My family has been granted the business of doing it for over 40 years. Now, if our cemetery is developed esthetically pleasing to the eye, if it is developed and landscaped properly which we will do, it is our new development, it is our interest to be in, I guess, have this development in harmony with the surrounding areas. So, sir, I highly doubt that your land will

devalue. My aunt will like to speak. Does anybody has any sort of proof?

Ms. Bernard: I am Marcia Bernard, Manager to Dovecot, the existing Dovecot. I just like to point out that the existing Dovecot is exactly beside Green Acres and the property over there is no devalued property.

Participants: No, no. (Hecklings)

Chairman: Next person in line. Who is the next person? As I said, we want to do this orderly, alright; you ask the questions you get the response. And remember you have thirty (30) days subsequent to these proceedings to have your concerns presented to NEPA in writing. There are various channels you can write it and take it to the office or you can do it on line. Okay so let us try and get through these proceedings as orderly as we possibly can; thank you. Next question.

Before you speak please give everybody a chance so we can hear them and the members in the audience can hear the question.

Participant: I am just going to ask you before I can put my question if you could supply the contact number for NEPA and the website so that anybody can put in their opinion or their grouses.

Chairman: Actually, the NEPA representative actually did that at the start of the meeting, but we will ask them to do it and put it on screen.

Participant: Okay. My question to Dr. Campbell, are you aware that there is well on the Naseberry Grove property? And if yes, what steps are being taken to prevent any damage or contamination or anything?

Dr. Campbell: When we went through the WRA table Naseberry Grove well is there, it is not a pumping well it is what we call a monitoring well.

Participant: It is not currently in use.

Dr. Campbell: I know and that is why I am saying WRA doesn't have that as being used, so it will be used as a monitoring well. If you look at it the water sample is a core hole, so we took water samples to see if there is any

pollution. But based on the slope of the land we don't expect any pollution to be impacting those wells.

Participant: Thank you.

Chairman: Say your name and where you are from, next.

Ms. Wallace: My name is Yvonne Wallace and I am from the Green Acres community. All this looks very good on paper in your demonstration, but we in Green Acres are suffering from - we have been suffering from we moved there 15 years ago; with the noise nuisance, the dust nuisance and the proximity to our property. You cannot tell me that if a grave is ten feet away from your property it is not going to devalue your property. I want to know also, when you start to excavate or whatever. How do you intend to keep the noise, nuisance down? How do you - you know in Green Acres we have people who have their houses cracked and unto this day nobody has been compensated. How will be this be different from Green Acres?

Dr. Campbell: Ms. Wallace, sorry in terms of the noise nuisance one of the things would have to be done; as I said before in terms of the operation when they are doing their work that we can control. In terms of when you have funerals now that is where we have to ensure that when persons coming on, that loud music apparently that is happening now, we are going to have to see how we can control it.

(Sotto voce comments by residents)

Chairman: Ladies and gentlemen, ladies and gentlemen.

Ms Wallace: With all due respect, sir, we are not talking about the noise nuisance from the traffic or even from the music or even from the gun salute. We are talking about the excavation when they are blasting and all that stuff that is the noise we are talking about.

Dr. Campbell: I don't think they are going to blast in this case now, on this property.

Ms Wallace: There will be no blasting?

Dr Campbell: No.

Participant: You don't think.

Dr Campbell: Because the soil is thick, there is no need for blasting.

Ms Wallace: There are no stones?

Participant: There are stones behind where I live, a lot of that.

(Sotto voce comments by Residents)

Dr. Campbell: Blasting is not the only way you get rid of stones. But if there is blasting, I mean blasting occurs, you have steps in how you do blasting. Blasting you would have to have a licensed person who would have to notify you who would have to do..

(Heckling by Residents)

...may I finish please, thank you. Who would have to notify you, who would have to do the necessary surveys of your property before to ensure that if it is a crack that is caused by the blasting then you will have to pay.

Ms Wallace: And I am so sure that all that was in place when Dovecot was building?

Dr. Campbell: Dovecot was in 19... how long, that is different. Now the regulators insist that that is done where you have to use licensed persons to do blasting and there are a set of criteria that they have to do before.

Chairman: Ms. Bernard would like to respond to the blasting discussion.

Ms. Bernard: Dovecot has not blasted for the past seven (7) years and when we do so, I am not sure what is happening at Meadowrest, but Dovecot I can tell you on the books Dovecot did not blast for the past seven (7) years. When we blast it is controlled blasting and the houses are inspected before the blast, noting all the cracks that are existing in the houses and when it is blasted - sir, any complaints can be done and Mr. Smith can attest to that.

Mr. Wilmot: Sorry, Mr. Chairman, I am so sorry, sir.

Chairman: You shouldn't be sorry, sir, if you are here.

Mr. Wilmot: Ms. Bernard that is so incorrect.

Chairman: Name.

Mr. Wilmot: My name is Mark Wilmot and I live next door immediately, next door to the Dovecot. And I can tell you that I have had all manner of problems. Blasting up to, I would say probably about a year and a half, two years ago...

Participant: I can say that is a lie, that is a lie.

Mr. Wilmot: What is a lie?

Participant: That is a lie.

Chairman: Continue, Mr. Wilmot.

Mr. Wilmot: Let me complete.

Chairman: And then put the question to them.

Mr. Wilmot: Yes, up to about two years ago they did blasting and I am in doubt about that. My problem is, all of these comments that have been made I heard you comment a while ago

about no blasting and so on and controls put in place. But when I brought that property back in 1984 there was a buffer between Dovecot and the Green Acres. Now, we were told that that was reserved for development, future development housing for the staff of Dovecot. Now that has changed they are now right on my wall, it changed without any notification clandestinely and now we are in a situation where we have a property that has been devalued in a big, big way, and it seems to be a problem getting any redress.

We talk and I am going to say it, we talk and nothing happens. My problem now is, I am exactly in front of this property, I own the property right across the road, and here am I now going to be faced with another situation where the property value is going to be depreciated because of the actions again of the Dovecot. I don't think that is fair and I would love to see you do something more than just telling us in a fanciful way, put something in writing that

we can hold you to, to say that listen these are the standards, and these are the standards that you must, follow.

Dr. Campbell: In terms of the standards, if a permit is issued, then there will be conditions to these permits which everybody will be able to see because they are public document. NEPA would issue and of course the Parish Council in terms of the building would have another set of conditions that would have to be met.

So in terms of you being concerned about what happens mid-stream, I don't know what happens 40 years ago, but now, times have changed and the regulators are more stringent in terms what is required in terms of development.

Mr. McCook: Good evening ladies and gentlemen, good evening. Keith McCook, the Councilor for a part of this section. And I am about to post some questions that we would like to some answers in regard to the residents in this area.

We went through a lot of problem regarding this Dovecot from earlier days, perhaps the changes have made about three, four years ago. Four lanes of vehicles are coming up, we are asking a lot of times what is the regulation Dovecot put in regards to when you have a funeral coming to Dovecot. What is it that you put in place? It is just like I am going to a food shop, if I throw the box on the road I will be charged. I would like to know what do you put in place in regard to the residents so that they could have a free flow going up and down?

And next, what is the development, in regard, because you are talking about your development in that. What development do you have for this community that people can benefit? I only heard that about thirty (30) persons will be benefitting and we have eight thousand members in this area, you said eight thousand, I think it is two hundred and seventy five if you could look on this document. I would like to know what

is the proposal in regards to, as you are saying, this is prime land, so we would like to know what is the development that you would put in place so that people can really make a benefit to turn their life continue although our homes will be depreciated in the long while. So we would be able to gain profit that we can move to somewhere else if there is not. What is that you put in place for that now?

Chairman:

Sir, I hear two distinct questions Mr. Councilor:

- (1) The control of the traffic, very bad very bad.
- (2) Additional development for the people given, you saw thirty (30) people under area houses in excess of eight thousand people.

So those are the questions.

Dr. Campbell:

Mr. Councilor, Councilor McCook, in terms of the traffic, I mean, surely on public roads

you would expect the police to be the ones to handle that, you would not really expect Dovecot to be the ones dealing with four lanes of traffic.

Mr. McCook: No, no, I definitely have to cut you when you are answering questions, because if you need a police escort you pay for a police escort. What happen if you are just having a funeral and just say well we are just leaving from the church to - that don't have no responsibility of police unless there is a - if there is a high risk funeral you will have police along the line to monitor what is really going on with regard.

Dr. Campbell: My friend, everybody who have a license knows what the road code is, not four lanes going one way when you have two way traffic.

Mr. McCook: Thank you very much, sir.

Dr. Campbell: And remember Dovecot does not necessarily have only persons - bury persons that Dovecot handles, you have other people who

carry dead there, you don't expect Dovecot to be the one going to police four lanes of traffic, it is not possible.

In terms of employment, I hear you, but it is also unreasonable to expect that the developer will employ thousands of people, not possible. We know that development - everybody wants development, everybody wants employment, but you can't try and stifle a development. We have to look at other things that will encourage employment. You can't expect a one project to solve the problem of stuff that governments have not been able to solve for the last 40 years.

Mr. McCook:

No, as I use the terms, I have to pose questions in regards to when you embarked on these, unanswered. What you are saying we are talking about this community, we are not talking about in regards to the government, because regardless of Government changes we will be having our communities. What we are saying you are coming with your development. We are talking the development for these

people in this area that they should be able to benefit in regard to a - substandard their lives to make it better in that case, not only because you know that dead is only a form of grieve that is where you benefit, that is not we benefitting, so we are asking what would you put in place? A shopping centre on the front that everyone can be able to get somewhere to rent to be able to do business what? What you are going to do?

Chairman: I hear you Mr. Councilor, I think what you are saying is, can the development add to the community separate apart from just providing jobs, is there anything..

Mr. McCook: No, not as a part of providing jobs, apart from just providing burials, provide some livelihood.

Chairman: Define livelihood; providing jobs for the people in the area?

Mr. McCook: Yes, in that case, in the context business is yours you either rent or sold what you need to sold on the front and..

Mrs. Madden Brownie: Councilor McCook my name is Madden, me nuh know nothing bout shopping centre, sir.
Thank you.

Mr. McCook: That is why we are asking and we are proposing.

(Heckling by residents)

Mrs. Madden Brownie: And as I have said before, we did not buy that land to build a shopping centre, sir. We purchased this land for decades. Also, sir, where are you the Councilor for? Where exactly are you the Councilor for, Taylor Road, the same road that has the flooding?

Mr. McCook: No, I am not for Taylor Road but adjoining district.

Participant: This is not a forum of rebuttal.

Chairman: Excuse me, Ma'am, if you are going to ask a question you ask a question, but we ask that the meeting be orderly and the majority of the attendees are doing that. Just a minute, Mr. Councilor, I am going to ask the lady on the outside if you are going to

participate you come inside and behave within the context of a discussion which has been orderly, please, Madam. For those of you who are interested in the contact information for NEPA, within the thirty (30) days after which you can make your concerns know there is information on the slide now, okay. Mr. Councilor that is it?

Mr. McCook: No, no.

Chairman: We have some other people, we have six people behind you.

Mr. McCook: But you are saying that you only know about the dead, that is a question I am asking. You only know about the dead, but we are looking something for the live and that is where we are coming at. You are just preparing for the dead, that is why I ask, could you put on your plan something that people can able to get a livelihood from, other from the thirty (30) that will be working inside the cemetery?

Chairman: Thank you Mr. Councilor, fair enough request, sir, fair enough request.

Ms. Brown: Audrey Brown from Green Acres. My back garden is exactly behind - in front of Dovecot. Yes, I would like to invite Ms. Madden to come and see the pollution we are having dust pollution, noise pollution this is every day. And there is just a chain link fence in between, so I don't know who is responsible for building a wall. But we are experiencing this everyday you want to come and see where we are?

Ms. Madden: For the present Dovecot, we can work together and implement some kind of measures for the dust pollution that I agree with. For Kumanda Park is it affecting anybody?

Participant: No, it is not.

Mrs Madden Brownie: No, it is not. For Kumanda Park the dust will not be affecting anybody nearby.

Ms. Brown: If we are experiencing this now can you imagine when that starts as well, we there will be more noise.

Dr. Campbell: In terms of Kumanda Park, as I said to you before it is going to be done in phases we are not going to clear large tracks so that we going to have dust. We are going to have vegetation around so that will be controlled, and as I said in the mitigation we will have methods to wet the area so that we don't have what you are having problems with now.

Ms. Brown: What is the measurement between the cemetery and somebody's back yard?

Dr. Campbell: For this one here?

Ms. Brown: Yes.

Dr. Campbell: Some of them will be close to the boundary, there are a few houses that will be close to the boundary, most of them are away but there are couple houses especially to the north that will be close to the boundary. But as I said to you before the plan is that we will have some landscaping in between, both for control of noise and dust and also in terms of the aesthetics.

Ms. Brown: Right now, I ask for the place to be dampened to keep the dust down and nothing is being done about it.

Mr. Campbell: I am sure that they will look into that, I mean I am not aware of it.

Ms. Brown: Who is going to look into it?

Ms. Madden Brownie: I will be looking into it.

Ms. Brown: Okay, I will leave it to you, but I am going to follow it up further okay, thank you.

Mr. Hyman: Gary Hyman from Green Acres, good evening everyone. I listened closely and I have observed something very important. I don't believe anybody is an island by themselves, and once there is a development there is going to be the need for co-existence. So I believe that, for this new development, the people here are really taking their cue from how the previous one is being managed. And it is clear to me that there needs to be some improvement there. So once it is done, I believe if there are improvements done there, then this development would not have

face this kind of challenge. So I am suggesting, I am suggesting that we need to understand that, irrespective of the fact that you are in the business of dead, there are a lot of people that still believe about life. (Applause) So what needs to be done, is to put it in its own prospective because you are of the view that the living has their dead, the dead has no living. So what I believe needs to happen as the Councilor was asking and I believe he is trying to make the point that as a corporate citizen, other companies would have made investment within the community so as to co-exist as a good corporate citizen which I believe... (Applause) ...Green Acres at Dovecot have failed miserable in that area. When you look at other mega companies they would have put in probably, or even if they don't put in, they would have contribute, make themselves friendly because we are all here to live. So even if you are burying the dead, it is the living burying the dead. (Applause) So give thought to that and I

believe it would make a world of difference to your project. (Applause)

Mrs. Madden Brownie: Mr. Hyman, I accept all of your points, yes, we all have to live together and we all live in the same area. So I would also - where I would accept you the living and I am also alive, I also accept the fact that I have to also make a living and that is my business. So it is no problem. Now where your point is, I will certainly take it upon myself to make sure that the new development is operated and built according to the conditions that NEPA will issue.

Mr. Hyman: Alright, I am glad you made that point; I just want to put in this clause. I am glad the Church can accommodate us here this evening, and the Church can play a very important role in ensuring that the community with the development and the Church can also see the way in which they can co-exist and ensure that between the community - the Church is organized in such a way to deal with the things that impact

the community, also your staffing. And I think that there are ways that you may not directly do things, but things can also be done indirectly through the different NGOs and the different outlets that will make a great impact.

Mr. Green: Thank you, Mr. Hyman. Good afternoon my name is Omar Green from the St. Johns Heights CBO, I am the President.

Chairman: St Johns Heights?

Mr. Green: CBO.

Chairman: What is CBO?

Mr. Green: Benevolent Association. Pointers, questions or suggestions for you Ms. Madden; I was delayed because of the traffic but I went on-line and try to get up-to-date with the document. So really a development will be happening and we really like that. In our area we have a lot of waste lands, but again persons are asking can we co-exist? The road network is an issue. We understand that the government is not doing their part and I

read the part where you guys estimated how much persons live in the area and based on when the survey started how much lived here now, and it is an estimation that the amount of persons in this area will grow.

Now, we looked also at the point where there are cemeteries that are filled, or almost full. Now when you guys build this thing is like everybody from everywhere is going to come yah suh, pon dah pop down network yah. Now, we don't really know about what the government is doing or the money or the investment that the government will do.

Now you are investing in the area, so I am just asking please if you can invest in the road network also, you understand, because we see the entrance down at St. Johns Hardware where the road is the worst along and it is at the entrance to your investment and that somewhere will impact on your place.

Now, we have a CBO we are elected, the Councilor is elected for the bigger area. We

are elected to serve our citizens and to represent them.

Now, I am just asking please, if you guys don't pay attention to the road, remember we live here, and there are ways in which if we don't feel good, unno nah guh feel good, you understand? (Applause)

It is something that don't care what unno do, unno can't go round it. Unno a guh have the pretty thing, but unno have to go and deal with the road. (Applause) Just peacefully, we are saying that.

The next point is, we are just asking if you can just go in partnership with the CBOs, because a development such as this will of course impact negatively on our property value. When our kids drive pass there they will be seeing dead, seeing all of that. So it will be a negative - of course, they know how hearse look and some of them in a glass case so you can see them from out of door. You understand, it is not hide it is a fashion. But I don't want to expose my kids

to certain things but with your development it will happen. So I am asking, please when you build, please build a nice wall so that when we a pass we are not just looking on the graves. We are asking please, if you can have some form of a beatification mainly planting some vegetation, some nice palms something to pretty up the place so that when we are passing it looks like Hope Gardens rather than dead, which you are interested in. (Applause)

So I am just asking please, if you, for example, my CBO, Ms. Madden, I love your expression, I respect you, please respect me, body language tells a lot. But please what I am trying to say to you but please, you are pissed I don't know why.

Mrs. Madden Brownie: I am not pissed.

Mr. Green: You are not.

Chairman: Ask the question, sir.

Mr. Green: Please partnership. Now, I am a member of my CBO there are a lot of things that I want to

do, so while our property value will go down, please invest like, I want to build like a play area for the kids, a multipurpose, something. So invest in my community so while the property value - we can implement things that will buffer, you understand? Just have like a partnership with the community.

Mrs. Madden Brownie: Sir, I have no problem with that, you know, and let me say for the fact, I am not pissed, why do you think that I am pissed?

Mr. Green: Your face said so.

Mrs. Madden Brownie: No, not at all.

Chairman: Let us try to keep the discussion civil. We are working hard to keep the discussions civil, okay.

Ms. Madden: We welcome the partnership with some kind of community project that is no problem whatsoever.

Mr. Green: Thank you, so we can get a contact number because funding is my thing?

Mrs. Madden Brownie: You can contact us through...

Chairman: We will get the details just after.

Mr. Green: And all the best with the development, but please just...

Chairman: Thank you, sir. Rev. would just like to interject just before.

Pastor Smile: May I just say something to the - and perhaps I will use the word congregation or those that are gathered in the Temple. Even though the word **pissed** can be found in the Bible, please remember, we are in the House of God and curtail your language please everyone.

Chairman: Thank you, Reverend.

Mr. Thomas: Good evening everyone. Well, I first want to say congrats to Dr. Campbell for an excellent...

Chairman: Introduce yourself, sir.

Mr. Thomas: My name is Orlando Thomas, I am a member of the Green Acres community, a member of this Church. So congrats to Dr. Campbell for an

excellent report; I was quite impressed browsing through pages on line and thanks to the Madden family for coming to hear our questions and to answer them. She is a little distracted my questions are really directed to her.

So, as I was saying thanks to the Madden family and yourself for coming here to represent your company. I have two questions really, one really is the question that was asked twice I still have not heard a very good answer. Looking at all the impacts the development will have on the community in terms of dust, it was suggested that the place be wet 24 hours every day which is a dream rather than something else that happens in reality. So we know there will be dust. The health impact of the dust plus persons having to clean, the noise will be a factor, no matter how much you mitigate against it and the fact is said that the place will be cleared in phases, it is a

three acre phases, three acres of bare land what will generate lots of dust.

The road condition, the traffic and I live in Green Acres and I know that I am delayed many times when I go out on a Sunday and coming back 15, 20 half an hour delay. So there are a lot of different ways that the community will be impacted negatively.

We have not heard from you and can we hear from you something concrete that you will do for the community, so that they can see that the inconvenience they put up with is worth it, because there is nothing coming from you to make this inconvenience worth it.

My second questions, is concerning the Church here. I want to say thanks to your company for allowing the Church to exist on this property. We see thankfully on the plan that you have, but we are not certain as to the status, will we be here just occupying the land until it is time for us to leave or will there be some formal arrangement that we can make our structure more permanent

because we have not been able to develop it because we are uncertain of our status. We are not owners of the land and so we cannot build a proper Church. Is there any decision yet made or in the thought process of the company as to what will become of the Church in the long term. Those are my two questions.

Chairman: Thank you, Mr. Thomas.

Dr. Campbell: Let me start, I cannot answer the Church one, but I will answer the others. In terms of the wetting and the dust control, as I said before, there will be a grievance mechanism. We know what is required to control dust, that is going to be more or likely if it is approved a stipulation in the environmental permit. If what is stipulated is not having the desired effect then you and other residents will have a mechanism in which to lodge your complaint, and I am sure from time to time NEPA will ensure that whatever grievance is lodged they are resolved. So in terms of that I am

not worried in terms of that. In terms of the road network I don't know, I mean I don't think in my mind a part from may be the entrances and stuff, the main road it is really hard to ask a developer to look at that.

Participant: Why not?

Dr. Campbell: Because, if you if you understand - why don't you think the government repair roads? The government who have umpteen monies collected cannot afford to do major road work much less a developer.

Mr. Thomas: Excuse me, sir, I was not asking for them to develop the road, but in compensation for the inconveniences that we will be faced week after week there should be something in the community and I have not heard it.

Dr. Campbell: She did say to the Councilor that she agrees.

Mr. Thomas: It was not concrete.

Dr. Campbell: But you could not expect her to say something concrete now, that is impossible

that is unreasonable, it is something that you would have to have to look at and discuss and probably have the input and thing to get what persons thoughts are. If you get a developer now to give you something that would be a pie in the sky. So don't fool yourself, I mean, people can tell you any nice thing at this point in time, so you have to think about it.

Chairman: Are you satisfied, Dr. Thomas?

Mr. Thomas: The Church. The question of the Church; what happens beyond now with the Church and the ownership of the Church and can they feel comfortable or will they be asked to move in the short order?

Chairman: Fair enough question.

Ms. Bernard: I am not sure what year the Church first started here, but they started with my permission on the condition that, free of cost, free of cost, there is not a dollar paid for rental or anything, because it was on the condition that when it comes time for

us to use the facility or the cemetery they would happily vacate. As a matter of fact it was always told to them that they should seek other premises to move the Church to close by. And they keep saying, they are looking, they are looking for land they cannot find any or whatever, but they have been looking.

As it is now, they are asking for continuance on the land. It is not possible at this time, but we can certainly consider a future continuance, but an immediate continuance I am not sure about that. But I am not the only person making the decision and I constantly have to go back to my Board about it.

Chairman: You have further questions to that Reverend?

Pastor Smikle: Pleasant afternoon everyone, and I am Pastor Smikle, and I am the Pastor for the district of Churches from St. Johns, Bendon and also Diamond Acres.

My question to Ms. Madden and also Ms. Bernard and I have listened to your response and we are most grateful for what you have done over the years. However, my question to you based on the presentation from Dr. Campbell for NEPA's assessment, a recommendation is being made for the Church to stay here. How will you view this being a part of your assessment? Also, I wanted it to be recorded that the Church, serves as a buffer between the living and the dead. (Applause)

Ms Bernard:

I certainly understand that and I am certainly grateful that the Church stands as a buffer, we need a buffer. But what I just said the consideration, it is not a total no, it's consideration, we are constantly looking at the possibility of, you know, providing some sort of situation where the Church can continue. Like we have spoken and we have come to meetings about it, I will go back and meet with my Board, and as it is now, because the plan, we have to go by the

plan that they present, and the plan has us coming straight through here. So, if the Church continues it won't be in this spot. But as you see...

(Heckling by Residents)

Chairman: Please be quiet.

Ms. Bernard: ...but this is not the forum for this discussion. I think we should discuss it at a later time, at another forum, but this is not it. And like I told you right now it is to be discussed. And there is consideration there.

Chairman: So are we hearing that the Church and Madden will sit down and at some point in time and discuss this. Just a minute, we have to get out of here tonight.

Participants: No.

Chairman: Well, if you don't, I have to.

So we are going to try to curtail the questions and I hear some repetition of some

of the questions. So, the ones who are coming, after that gentleman.

Mr. Macleod:

Good night everyone, my name is Renaldo Macleod from Taylor Road Community. I am just asking, what positive thing can we get from this project? Can the community expect from this project? And another thing, who is going to monitor them to ensure that the thing that they say they are going to put in place will be in place. Because a recent encounter with the operations, with the previous Dovecot, the present Dovecot is that they use our community on a regular basis and they operate as if they are dealing with the dead. I mean, we ask for them to come through and dam the road to continue their business and, we have to block the road basically for someone to come out and represent and say hey. So we have to actually do something for them to come out and you know, come look at us. And I am very concern about the mindset of that they don't think that human beings are around there.

Chairman: So you are saying what will happen to ensure that you don't have to block the roads in this development to get the kind of consideration you should be getting, the community that is?

Mr. Macleod: Right.

Ms Bernard: That question seems to be recurring, I mean constantly and there is no real answer right now. But you are reiterating question that was posed previously. But I just need to point out that Dovecot, the present Dovecot has assisted Taylor Road so many times.

Participant: Oh please.

Participant: With what?

Ms. Bernard: You see the tractor that comes up there and grade the road and you see the marl that they put on it, it is from Dovecot.

(Sotto voce comments by Residents)

Chairman: Please, please you can't all speak at once.

Ms Bernard: We at Dovecot have paid for marl to put on the road and we use our same tractors.

Participant: A lie that.

Chairman: Miss you are in the line you will get a chance when your time comes up.

Ms Bernard: So it is not as if Dovecot has not worked on Taylor road and the drainage, the work that is being done on Taylor Road is assisted by Dovecot.

Chairman: The other part of the question is probably more important. And Dr. Campbell, he asked who will monitor and I think that is an important question, the monitoring aspect of the question.

Dr. Campbell: The monitoring is based on NEPA's condition, if the permit is issued then we will more than likely be the ones who will be doing the monitoring to ensure that..

Mr. Macleod: Who is we?

Dr. Campbell: CL Environmental, so if you are having an issue with the dust, we will be measuring dust levels and noise and making recommendation how we think to mitigate the problems that you have.

Chairman: Thanks for that. Just to inject a little bit of objectivity in the discussion as you go ahead. Because I said some of the questions are repetitive, but the main aspect of his question was about the monitoring. Remember that when the first Dovecot was built and those who around would remember, we did not have this kind of a process. One of the things that both entities should begin to look at is, we didn't have that kind of a process thirty years ago when Dovecot was however long, 35 years ago we did not have an EIA process, we didn't have a public consultation process. One of the things that we probably need to look at is how do we utilize this process that we have now to ensure that whatever ills that we have now with present Dovecot doesn't happen. So as we go along and discuss, just look at that. What we doing now wasn't done 35 years ago. How do we use this to ensure that the mistakes that were made, going forward are not repeated, right. And I am going to

definitely stop at the lady in the white blouse, no more questions after that.

Ms. Sterling:

Good evening my name is Pearl Sterling and I live in Green Acres. I live not - my house is not too far from where you see you have a fountain there that is not being used right out of the front of Dovecot. And it has been a nuisance, the guys they jump the fence in and out of Green Acres in a Dovecot. The workmen jump the fence with them blue clothes. The whole of St. Paul right there, as you turn in from where they have open space facing the Hardware store, we suffer who live inside there at Green Acres, we suffer down that side. Dovecot men they come over with their boots, they come with their tractors we have to fight with them to stop the tractor. I brought property over there thirty five (35) years ago I moved out nearly twenty years now and that is the problem I am still having. Nobody would want to buy that problem again after I sell my house and come here and sweat hard and

build. So me a beg this present Dovecot to do what them have to do, else we are going to stand up and go back at Parish Council because unno use to teck through there and make road, and we had to go to Parish Council and fight for that road up there with rock. And we don't want it happen again, that is what Dovecot people do. Me go down a North Street at Madden already.
(Applause)

Chairman: Thank you, thank you.

Mr. Willis: Good evening everyone, I am Carlington Willis, I am the Vice President of the St. Johns Heights CBO. One of the things is, I saw a paragraph earlier showing Dr. Carlton, showing the traffic flow. Now, my concern, and I believe it was mentioned somewhere along the line is that, the whole community on a whole it is increasing, it is developing, and Dovecot currently is not fully occupied by dead. And now we are having a new Dovecot it is going to have an increase in traffic, the state of the roads

currently is absolutely terrible Ms Madden, and I, would like - I know Dr. Campbell mentioned earlier, that it is not the responsibility of Dovecot to take care of roads, it is Parish Council and government and so on. But you know the government, as you said as well, doesn't really have money so the government says.

Now, I would like for your corporate responsibility I would believe it is, to contribute towards the development of the roads, to contribute toward the development of the community on a whole.

Chairman: We have had that one already. Is there anything in addition?

Mr. Willis: I want to hear something concrete for once.

Chairman: We have had that discussion already and in light of the time; is there another point you want to make?

Mr. Willis: Two, pollution, toxin, mercury, emission. I was told by you earlier that the emission will be extremely low, but as we all know,

as we should all know extremely low exposure to mercury, no matter how low now, it can't be good. What exactly would the mercury level be like based on your test or your forecast of this future?

Dr. Campbell:

In the EIA, I mean, because of the nature of the presentation, we did not go into that. But what we had looked at, what we had looked at is what pollutant we expect from a decomposing body and you can look in the EIA. The values have a lot those chemicals where as thousands of times lower than what the standards are, some of them have no standard and that is why I said it would be minimal to none existence. So in terms of that we don't expect - in terms of the depth of soil below the property you have at least fifty meters, forty to fifty meters of soil before it even goes near ground water so we expect that most of that would be absorbed anyway. Also included in the vaults you have a layer of marl and stuff that is compact so we expect that to collect most of

the decomposing thing. And of course, it is sealed, so we don't expect water to be coming from there.

Mr. West:

I hear you. My third concern has to do with the true way to measure result is by time, the passing of time. Now, the current Dovecot, as you said, thirty-five (35) years ago can't come in comparison to today and the standards that are currently in place. However, can we correct the present Dovecot for the sake of the people at Taylor Road, Green Acres? Things need to be put in place to help these people because they are suffering, I don't live there so I don't know, but this is what we are constantly hearing, perpetually coming out of the people, it needs to be corrected and I would like for you address that please.

Chairman:

Thank you, sir.

Mr. Clarke:

Yes, sir, my name is Clinton Clarke and I am a political representative in the area. I don't necessarily agree with you on the statement about what government's

responsibility is as oppose to what corporate responsibility should be.

I would like to raise an issue, that you may say has been ventilated already, but I don't think it has been sufficiently ventilated. Because, listening to the questions, and the responses that are coming, I am getting the feeling that the onus is on you to have this consultation with the single or singular view of getting the expansion done.

Now, I don't have a difficulty, let me just finish. I do not have a difficulty with the expansion that you are going to be having, as long as the environment assessment is done - impact assessment is done and all those things are in order that it won't affect the people in terms of health wise then I don't have any difficulty with it because I think an expansion should create some jobs for the people in the communities. However, I want to ask the owners and operators of this memorial park. Did you come here tonight with any idea as to the

kind of contribution you wanted to take the opportunity to make to the communities that are around the place? Let me explain why, and I want you to understand the point I am making.

The constituency of West Central St. Catherine, this constituency, the two major businesses in this constituency are two memorial parks; and the problem that I have is that these two memorial parks they just don't business. And the kind of contribution that they make back to the communities in which they operate is virtually nil, and that is the problem that I am having.

(Applause)

Chairman:

Sir, I want to make a point and allow you to continue even though people have vented because you raised a point that nobody else had raised before. Did the operators come here tonight in mind with what could be done? In other words, having operated Dovecot for 35 years was there some thought prepared in their minds as to what they

could do, not just about what you are going to do, but was it even in their frame of reference to consider that issue, and that is a fair question after 35 years of business. Can we get them to answer that?

Mr. Clarke: Yes, I would love for that to be done; I would to get some answers to that, the people want the answers to that.

Chairman: Okay.

Dr. Campbell: I am going to presume that I can speak on behalf of the proponent. In terms of public meetings like this, people ventilate their issues, we are recording it, seven days time it will be on NEPA's website, NEPA will review it to see what the concerns are? It is kind of impossible right now to ask the developer to commit to something presently hearing some of the concerns it is something that you will have to discuss among themselves to determine how best to deal with it, it's really unfortunate but you can't expect them to come here pre-proposing what you will say.

(Heckling)

Chairman: I am going to respectfully ask again, will the audience please you have been doing well, that is not the spirit in which we want to conduct the thing right. Continue, sir, because I see you are not quite please with the answer.

Mr. Clarke: I really want to ask you, I really want to ask you, if tonight; coming here tonight is the first time that you would have been getting the kind of feedback that you are getting about Dovecot?

Dr. Campbell: Yes, we did some interviews and that never came up. And that is why I said to you, now that it is ventilated that is something that we are going to have to look at, and it is kind of impossible for you to expect an answer to come sensible tonight.

Mr. Clarke: So my next question will be, how are you going to engage the people in the communities so that they can contribute to the master plan that you are going to be

developing for area? Because let me tell you something, fly high, fly low, every business that operates anywhere has a responsibility to the community in which it operates. You don't want the Taylor Road and Naseberry Groove and Green Acres young people and St. Johns Height young people to be coming over Dovecot come bruk de windows dem in de cars dem weh park over deh and do anything on toward. I am just simple saying, that we appropriate you doing business - because that is what you do, you know, whether you like it or not that is what you do. So don't come here and give us the impression that it is not a business you doing, this is business. Everybody who bury over there you don't give them free place on the property over there. (Applause)

So all I am saying is, I would like some assurance, I would like some assurance as to how you going to incorporate - I know there is a Citizens Association in Green Acres, there is one in St. Johns Height a very

active one, see him there, right. I don't that Naseberry Grove has a structured Citizens Association, but I see Mr. Rose here and I know that they are involved. And on the other side they have some people over there George and those people and I would love to find out from you before you leave here tonight, how you are going to engage these people so that they can tell you what are some of the issues.

I am pretty sure, that if you come up with a master plan, you may be able to go to government and say this is going to cost us thirty million, we are prepared to put up 15. What are you prepared to do? And don't tell me that that can't be done because you call that private/public partnership.

(Applause)

Chairman: And the questions are a fair one but given the gravity of the question...

Mr. Clarke: I only ask fair questions.

Chairman: I would hope so. But given your line of business, I hope so. However, given the gravity of the question and the enormity of the question, would you expect to get a fair answer tonight?

Mr. Clarke: No, I can't get the details tonight, but what I want is the assurance from the team from Maddens, that the people in the communities...

Chairman: Will be engaged?

Mr. Clarke: Not just engage, they are going to be allowed to participate in the process because this development is going to impact them positively or negatively, so you want to engender a kind of cooperation with them now so that thirty-five (35) years time they don't say you did come and pull a wool over dem eyes at the Seven Day Adventist Church at Bendon. (Applause)

Chairman: Okay.

Mr. Forrester: A very pleasant good evening to the team and to members of the audience. I believe this

assembly, is serving a very good purpose. My name is Theophelus Forrester. I am from Taylor Road and, yes - Taylor Road seems to be that area that suffers most from any impact, the negative ones I would say as a result of Dovecot. I am not here, let me make it clear to stay that you must not do your business here, can't say that. But what I am asking is that, while you think of your own personal development, you will also think of the communities around. I am sure you have done that, but remember, in every - what you call - my head is getting a little old so I forget. I would say, anytime they do a research or something there is an allowance for error and I think that what happens in the former Dovecot - let us me say, for instance, when Dovecot was made first we didn't have the kind of problems that we are now having from them. Since the upper gate was opened we are having a very serious problem with the flow of water that comes from there. And there are times a lot of marl, sand, gravel comes down and it gives

us problem down at the sinkhole we have down there, it is giving us problem.

I must also declare that Dovecot helps us because I call on them sometimes and they do respond.

Chairman: Are you saying, sir that this development may continue?

Mr. Forrester: My question is, because I hear when Dr. Campbell made his presentation here, he talked about the sinkholes that are there and the plans to divert the water into these sinkholes. And if you remember I said, there are always allowance for error. May be, although you did this, there could be something later. Is there a contingency plan to make sure that we do not suffer from here, the way we suffer from the current Dovecot?

Chairman: So, is there a contingency plan to ensure that history is not repeated? That is the question I hear.

Mr. Forrester: Yes, sir.

Dr. Campbell: I am sure NEPA hears the concerns, is hearing what the problems they had with previous Dovecot. Part of any development you will you have what we call an environmental monitoring and management plan which has to be submitted for approval to NEPA. I am sure conditions that they will have in the permit would take into consideration the concerns of the dust and the road and stuff that has been expressed tonight.

So in terms of your concern, sir, I am confident that it will be addressed for this new development.

Ms. Patricia: I am a citizen of Taylor Road, and living there for the past 15 years.

Chairman: Name for the record.

Ms. Patricia: Patricia, and from I have been living there each time when the rain falls the place flood out and from you enters Dovecot come in, come right up, the road there is no road. Because if we as residents around

there gets sick and you say at Dovecot you do a lot for Taylor Road, I don't see it, I don't see nothing done at Taylor Road. The road for the past three weeks you have four trucks carrying dirt and where we live we have to block off the road just to make them drop little marl to keep down the dust because it was sicking us. And each time we go to the doctor we are spending seven/eight thousand dollars just because we don't get no little - all the truck man is saying too that we should go over Dovecot to talk to them. But if they are driving four and five trucks and we talk to them carrying dirt and it is not covered and the dust is blowing, and the track that is there, because a nuh road dat. The track that is there and we talk to them and if we never block off the road while they driving - they stop driving through there to make the dust, they would not come drop little marl there because all they are telling us we must go over to talk to the boss.

Chairman: So Patricia what you are asking the panel here now. What you want to ask them?

Ms. Patricia: I want to know what unno say unno a duh for Taylor Road, for Taylor road is exactly right to Dovecot. What unno doing now for Taylor Road because you are saying you are doing a lot and I don't see it, sir, so I want to know what you are going to do for Taylor Road that is affected the most by this Dovecot.

Chairman: Alright.

Mrs. Madden Brownie: I guess it is your word against mine, but we have helped Taylor Road and we will continue to help Taylor Road as best as we can.

Ms. Campbell: Good evening, I am Sarah Campbell from Taylor Road. In the last flood it was 2012, I am living right in front the drainage, and whenever time it rains I am all flood, flood straight to the roof. And with this thing now, what impact you believe going to happen to us down there?

Chairman: So, flooding is currently happening. What impact will this extended development have in terms of flooding? On Taylor Road that is?

Ms Campbell: Yes, because whenever time we flood you know, it reaches to the ceiling, my house.

Dr. Campbell: As noted in the presentation, we noted that there is the problem of flooding, but as I said, also the slope of this land and because you have the sinkholes and the drains immediately and also how you are going to put the road and curves, we don't expect any of the water from this current proposed development to impact you.

Ms Campbell: I don't think so. I believe this will affect us down there.

Dr. Campbell: I hear you, we are going to agree to disagree.

Ms. Campbell: Because if we are swimming now and this water comes down now we are going to drown.

Dr. Campbell: But this property is below you, this property is below you.

Participant: No, but the whole of the water is going to go down there.

Dr. Campbell: My friend, in terms of the elevation and the slope, the water will either go to the concrete channel that is there or as we planned to channel them with the road towards the sinkholes and soak away, right. Because I know for a fact, not think, I know for a fact that the drainage that is proposed for this development will not impact you on Taylor Road.

Ms Campbell: Unless you are going to improve the channel down there to take that body of water.

Dr Campbell: And we agree and I have also said that we are also going to channel the water towards the sinkholes and there will be some soak away that will be built.

Ms. Campbell: And you know what happens again, whenever time you lose your things them down there you don't have anybody come in and say you lose your fridge, your bed, what have you. Nobody come in and say alright here is a

dollar come and help and buy back what was destroyed.

Chairman: I am not so sure that it is going to be in the scope of this EIA, but the point is well taken.

Ms. Althea: Good evening ladies and gentlemen through the Chair, my question tonight...

My name is Althea and I am from Daisy Close, right next door to the property. The fence of Dovecot runs right behind where I live and my two questions tonight is. I have been hearing about a buffer, I don't know if I got here too late, and you would have mentioned measurement as it relates to buffer, I don't know. But what measurement are we looking at? What can we expect as a buffer?

And secondly is, with what happens for present Dovecot, past thirty-five (35) years and still counting, with this presentation that has been done tonight or the study that has brought about this presentation tonight.

Was a study done to mitigate what present Dovecot has done of the past thirty-five (35) years so that we could have some faith in what you came to put forward to us tonight? Those are my two questions.

Dr. Campbell:

Let me answer you in terms of the buffer. I don't, rather I cannot remember the exact distances on the plan in terms of the setback from the fence. But there also will be what you call a landscaping plan which would have to be submitted to NEPA, the Parish Council would have to look at the setback from the boundary, so that would be done. I don't want to give you numbers because I would be lying and I would have to look back on the plan.

In terms of the study of the present Dovecot, that is outside of remit of this EIA. I mean, we can't be doing that study because there are certain terms of reference what we were asked to do, and what we were asked to do is to look at what the proposed one does. What we have done in terms of some

of the social survey is to get some information from some of the residence that we have spoken to about the flooding issues. And some persons raised dust and stuff, but in terms of studying the present Dovecot that is not in the scope of this study.

Ms Althea:

But you would understand though that if something was to be done or if some mitigation was in place for present issues that the present Dovecot posed on the communities you would not have an issue tonight, you would expect that the people would have more faith in what you are saying tonight?

Dr. Campbell:

I hear you and I agree to you in some form. But as I said to you, I have had to deal with the proposed. We have heard the complaints, the complaints of what is happening presently, it is something that we are going to have to discuss internally to see how best to deal with it. The questions - and persons have raised the issue so many times, I mean, the developer I am sure have

been listening. So that is something that we are going to have to discuss.

Ms Althea: So is there then another forum as this where we would be able to get some numbers as it relates to the buffer. Because I don't know, the process, in all of this what - is there another forum that we will understand what to expect because we would like to know the measurement as it relates to buffer, because I don't think I would want to have Dovecot grave right behind my house.

Dr. Campbell: We understand that. As we have said we are recording this, your questions will be dealt with because then all of this verbatim minutes will be on the NEPA website for the public so that people can look to say what they have said or represented, NEPA will collate it send it back to us for a response and then you will get that information.

Ms. Althea: Thank you.

Chairman: We are supposed to do two persons alone.

Participant: Yes, I would like to ask this very important one. What I am asking I would like to know if the hearse will be coming through Taylor Road?

Dr. Campbell: No.

Participant: And the main road, so it won't come there?

Dr. Campbell: No.

Participant: Thank you very much.

Chairman: So we thank everybody for participating it has been a - no Miss, if I keep doing this...

Ms. Morgan: I just want to say to everybody, I am Lori-Ann Morgan from St. Johns Height Citizens Association. People our community is growing 35 years ago building a cemetery didn't warrant this kind of meeting right. So, what we all need to realize is that we have to speak with one voice try and come together as a community the CDC is growing, it is getting stronger, the SDC is making sure that we all participate. You should attend these meetings, the second Tuesday of every month at the Baptist Church right in Kitson

Town. Right, if we go together united, one voice, our voices will be heard. (Applause) A hundred people from Taylor Road and ten from St. Johns Height they cannot respond to us all, one voice. Let's just try and unite, alright.

Chairman:

And that may be the forum the previous speaker spoke, that you could use.

I would like to thank everybody for coming out. As I said 35 years ago there was not this forum. We are hearing a lot that Madden has to take under consideration. I would have hope some of it they would have heard before and not hearing it for the first time tonight. But we are saying as communities and as the public we have to continue to agitate for what we need.

So don't leave here tonight and just talk about this. The website is there, NEPA is there, again as one voice you have the opportunity within thirty (30) days of this presentation to make your concerns known in writing.

The proceedings tonight were done verbatim much as you were in Court. So everything you said were being recorded, as we speak it is being recorded verbatim. You have the responsibility now going forward to take your concerns to the next level to the channels that have been provided.

I would like to thank everybody for coming out, thank the presenters, thank NEPA and everybody else. Refreshments are served on the outside.

Have a good evening everybody.

Adjournment taken at 7:52 p.m.

ATTENDANCE LIST

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT PUBLIC MEETING FOR THE PROPOSED DOVECOT MEMORIAL PARK EXPANSION AT PART OF CHISHOLM CALLED BENDON PEN, ST. JOHNS ROAD, ST CATHERINE, (BENDON SEVENTH DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH, ST. JOHNS ROAD, ST. CATHERINE)

NAME	MAILING/ORGANIZATION ADDRESS	TEL/FAX	E-MAIL
K P US [unclear] .. B.K Bendon			
Y.M Wallace	Green Acres.		
P Branson	GREEN ACRES		
Ruth [unclear]	MSPA		
Andre Bryan	Crystal Close, Kitson Town	268-7233	andre9bryan@gmail.com
CSI [unclear] [unclear]	K & V	891-2662	CSI [unclear]. McCrossy@xtr
J. [unclear]	Quasda's	781-5591	janeit [unclear] so@gmail
Mitchell King	Green Acres	774 0915	arny kingford@yahoo
Marcia Bernard	Kingston	381 2727.	
Nellian Hill [unclear]	St. John's Heights		
A Henry	Taylor Road		
J. Henry	" "		
V. Smith	" "		
Sarah Campbell	" "		
Daisy Butler	" "		

ATTENDANCE LIST
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT PUBLIC MEETING FOR THE PROPOSED
DOVECOT MEMORIAL PARK EXPANSION AT PART OF CHISHOLM CALLED BENDON PEN, ST. JOHNS
ROAD, ST CATHERINE, (BENDON SEVENTH DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH, ST. JOHNS ROAD, ST. CATHERINE)

NAME	MAILING/ORGANIZATION ADDRESS	TEL/FAX	E-MAIL
D Gordon			
V. Dick			
Mrs Frances			
P. Baker	Bendon	8986763	
Mourice Campbell			
J McAllen	Kitson town Bendon	572-0619	
D Jennings	Green Acres	602-4419	
A. Avis	Green Acres	943 0034	
J Hollison	Green acres	506 5275	
Mr Wilbert Thomas	Taylor Run		
Shawn Smith	Taylor Road	809-3077	
ISIAA MADDEN	Montego- BAY.		
CARLTON CAMPBELL	C.2 ENVIRONMENTAL CO. LTD	371-2267	
Motinch Lewis	Nesder Grove	872 484821	
J. Forcett	Taylor Road	870 112	

ATTENDANCE LIST

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT PUBLIC MEETING FOR THE PROPOSED DOVECOT MEMORIAL PARK EXPANSION AT PART OF CHISHOLM CALLED BENDON PEN, ST. JOHNS ROAD, ST CATHERINE, (BENDON SEVENTH DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH, ST. JOHNS ROAD, ST. CATHERINE)

NAME	MAILING/ORGANIZATION ADDRESS	TEL/FAX	E-MAIL
Olando Thomas	937 St Johns Rd, Green Acres	830 8456	othomas12@yahoo.com
Adifos Wang	1	-	-
Rose Thomas	St Johns Heights	440-8739	rthomas2000@yahoo.com
Ruby Pusey	Nesbitt	455 8673	
Samuel Bryan	St. Johns Heights	418 1458	
Emelene Bryan	St. Johns Heights	442 1831	
Marietta Thompson	Mercury Gardens	376-0452	marietta.thompson@gmail.com
Eary Hyman	Green Acres	906-5308	hymanear2@ixc.com
PASTOR WAYNE SMIKLE JR.	PASTOR FOR THE CHURCH	527-4508	wayne.smikle@yahoo.com
Fitzroy Howard	Bendon	365 2549	
Shandel Lawrence	Bendon Dist	281 7770	Shandel-Lawrence@yahoo.com
Sandra Groves	Lot 782 Lindo close St. Johns Height	868 5411	-
Lijonja Chook		363 1393	
Lijonja Farguhanson	58 Brunswick Ave, sp Tu	984 5576	lijonja2001@yahoo.com
Bridgette Mason	75 Colles Green Rd & Acres	417 8398	

ATTENDANCE LIST
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT PUBLIC MEETING FOR THE PROPOSED
DOVECOT MEMORIAL PARK EXPANSION AT PART OF CHISHOLM CALLED BENDON PEN, ST. JOHNS
ROAD, ST CATHERINE, (BENDON SEVENTH DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH, ST. JOHNS ROAD, ST. CATHERINE)

NAME	MAILING/ORGANIZATION ADDRESS	TEL/FAX	E-MAIL
Icilda Munroe	Naseberry Grove	263-4269.	
P. Joseph	Community - Bendon		
Fitzroy Maxwell	Bendon		
Rachael Maxwell	Taylor Rd Community	435 1751	
Isdya Taylor			
Angella French	Mercury Gardens	319-5553	ffrench@yahoo.com
Deslyn Myers	St Johns Heights	389-3118	
Alfred	Bendon		
Andene Brooks	JWHT	481-2911	
S. Walters	JWHT		
M. Dyer	Taylor Road	406-0426	
C. Jones			
J. Harvey	Taylor Road		
S. Bertley	Taylor Road		
Myrtle	Taylor Road		

ATTENDANCE LIST
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT PUBLIC MEETING FOR THE PROPOSED
DOVECOT MEMORIAL PARK EXPANSION AT PART OF CHISHOLM CALLED BENDON PEN, ST. JOHNS
ROAD, ST CATHERINE, (BENDON SEVENTH DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH, ST. JOHNS ROAD, ST. CATHERINE)

NAME	MAILING/ORGANIZATION ADDRESS	TEL/FAX	E-MAIL
Robert Hume	Bendon		
Cathie King	Bendon		
Robert Chamberlain	Bendon		
Novelto Green			
A Brown	Green Acres		
T Blain	Green Acres		
A Gallion	Taylor Rd.		
Carl Bladett	Taylor Road		
Marsha Beiler	Taylor Road.		
A Pearson	Water Mount		
S Robinson	Water Mount		
C. Smith	taylor road		
P Williams	Green Acres.		
K. Williams	Green Acres.		
	Dan Brook		

ATTENDANCE LIST
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT PUBLIC MEETING FOR THE PROPOSED
DOVECOT MEMORIAL PARK EXPANSION AT PART OF CHISHOLM CALLED BENDON PEN, ST. JOHNS
ROAD, ST CATHERINE, (BENDON SEVENTH DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH, ST. JOHNS ROAD, ST. CATHERINE)

NAME	MAILING/ORGANIZATION ADDRESS	TEL/FAX	E-MAIL
Mildred Byron			
Jean Thomas	Naseberry Grove		
HULSON WALTERS	GREEN ACRES	853-2291	hulson-walters@yahoo.com
Annella Campbell	St John's Heights	420 6394	
Roulette Phillips	Bendon		
Renaldo MLeod	Bendon	402-6053	RenaldoM400@gmail.com Renald
Gessie Stone	GREEN ACRES	817 9864	gmspal@hotmail.com
Margaret Hylton	Naseberry Crown	442 3861	margaret.ette.hylton@yahoo.ca
Pissanne Williams	Taylor Road	402-1817	William.Pissanne@41700.com
Ava-Gaye Chambers	Bendon		
Cynthia Favey	Bendon		
L-Ming	st John's Heights		
Jamar Simmonds	Bendon	407-9060	Jamar.Simmonds@yahoo.com
Edward Phillips	Bendon		
Joyce Stewart	Bendon Dist	881-3765	

ATTENDANCE LIST

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT PUBLIC MEETING FOR THE PROPOSED DOVECOT MEMORIAL PARK EXPANSION AT PART OF CHISHOLM CALLED BENDON PEN, ST. JOHNS ROAD, ST CATHERINE, (BENDON SEVENTH DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH, ST. JOHNS ROAD, ST. CATHERINE)

NAME	MAILING/ORGANIZATION ADDRESS	TEL/FAX	E-MAIL
Keith 14 th Gage	Councillor	880-3352	
Carlington Willis	Vice President (St Johns Association)		
George Porter	Taylor Road	276-0481	
Ricardo	Salmon		
Bernardo	Taylor Road		
Angella Wilson	Bendon alert		
Orneil Chire	Robinson		
Leopold Seloff	Cherry Colours. Kinson Town	845 5176	leopold@tdc.com
Clarine Brooks	J.C.F.	252-6694	
Ruel Patterso	ST John Height		
Kinoslow Fogg	ST JOHN HEIGHT		
Millicent James	Neese Berry grove		
Ruby Morgan	Bendon Kinson Town St Cat		
Kosanna Brown	Bendon Kinson Town St Cat		
DAVID ROSEMA	ENC Nosterry Citizen's Ass		

ATTENDANCE LIST

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT PUBLIC MEETING FOR THE PROPOSED DOVECOT MEMORIAL PARK EXPANSION AT PART OF CHISHOLM CALLED BENDON PEN, ST. JOHNS ROAD, ST CATHERINE, (BENDON SEVENTH DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH, ST. JOHNS ROAD, ST. CATHERINE)

NAME	MAILING/ORGANIZATION ADDRESS	TEL/FAX	E-MAIL
Nasheta Dillon	Nasberry Grove	594-2038	
M Daniel	Kingsland		
Notman	Mais		
Paul Sterling	Green Avars.		
Dennis Brown	Nese Berry Grove		
Andres Pennicot	Tatyal Road		
Desmond Zhongwan	Nese Betty Grove		
Kerine Lynch	nese berry grove		
STANLEY SCOTT	LITL NASEBERRY GROVE	458-6021	
Sonia Jones	St. Johns. Heights	454-2234	
Don Sorous	St. Johns Heights	5285554	
Shera Datta Lida	Bendon District		
Charmain Hall	Murcus Gordon		
Ann-Marie Clarke	Bendon District	530-1903	
Carly SCOTT	Bendon District		



ATTENDANCE LIST
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT PUBLIC MEETING FOR THE PROPOSED
DOVECOT MEMORIAL PARK EXPANSION AT PART OF CHISHOLM CALLED BENDON PEN, ST. JOHNS
ROAD, ST CATHERINE, (BENDON SEVENTH DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH, ST. JOHNS ROAD, ST. CATHERINE)

NAME	MAILING/ORGANIZATION ADDRESS	TEL/FAX	E-MAIL
✓ R. O. A.	Taylor R.D. Atown		
L. Creary	Taylor R.D. Kitson		
K. Davis	Taylor R.D. Kitson		
T. Givans	Belleve Heights		
D. Blackwood	Taylor Rd		
H. Gnerdi	Road to the way Garden		
Billy Watson	Pastor Central Jamaica Conferen	527 4587	billwatto@hotmail.com
P. Campbell			
Norman Bantz	Baylee		
Manpre Grahur	Bendon		
Dionne Mattis	Bellive Height		
Deborah Soto	St. John's Height		
David Hall	Meadowbrook grove		
Simroy DAVIS	St John's meadows		
G. Jackson	Green Area		

ATTENDANCE LIST
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT PUBLIC MEETING FOR THE PROPOSED
DOVECOT MEMORIAL PARK EXPANSION AT PART OF CHISHOLM CALLED BENDON PEN, ST. JOHNS
ROAD, ST CATHERINE, (BENDON SEVENTH DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH, ST. JOHNS ROAD, ST. CATHERINE)

NAME	MAILING/ORGANIZATION ADDRESS	TEL/FAX	E-MAIL
Melodie Thelwell	St Johns Meadows		
Sideline Francis	Taylor Road		
Marve Wilmo	Bendon		cp15@cupa-ice.ca
OMAR GREEN	St. Johns Heights CBO		OMARGREEN4EVA@gmail
URIAH E.G. LAWRENCE (Rev)	Church of God of Prophecy Beacon Hill - St. Johns P.O.		HarSelcen.cogop@yahoo.com
Yvette Batts	GREEN ACRES		yvette-batts@yahoo.com
Crystal Mitchell	Daisy Close		
CLINTON CLARKE	BENDON ACRES, ST. CATH.		ccclarke@jatec.com.jm
Olivia Gasy	Daisy close		
Rachel D'Silva	C. L. E		
MVW Lee	C. L. E		

ATTENDANCE LIST
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT PUBLIC MEETING FOR THE PROPOSED
DOVECOT MEMORIAL PARK EXPANSION AT PART OF CHISHOLM CALLED BENDON PEN, ST. JOHNS
ROAD, ST CATHERINE, (BENDON SEVENTH DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH, ST. JOHNS ROAD, ST. CATHERINE)

NAME	MAILING/ORGANIZATION ADDRESS	TEL/FAX	E-MAIL
B Barbow	Daisy Close		
R. Easy	Daisy Close		
A & L P H W O R T H . T A P P O L . R O O D .			
Laurie Ann Morgan	St. John's Heights Citizens Association		laurieannmorgan@yahoo.com
B. Morgan	Mingsland		
A Langley	ST CATHERINE HEALTH		
M Salmon	Tarok Road		
R. Henry	Taylor Road		
K. Freeman	CEAC Solutions		
B Wilson	Daisy Close		
A Smiley	Daisy Close, P.O Box 705 9		Smileyalthwa@yahoo.com